Scoring Criteria for prior success with outcomes of CIP funding

1. **Outcome clarity:**

- Are expected outcomes clearly and specifically stated? Outcomes need to be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound).

2. Logical flow of outcomes:

- Are the expected outcomes logically aligned with outputs and the program's overall goal? Do outcomes directly support one or more of the selected CIP Funding Priority? And for Community Sustaining, are short-term, intermediate, and long-term outcomes identified?

3. Appropriateness of Measurement Tools:

- Are the proposed measurement tools appropriate to accurately measure the expected outcomes?
    - I.e. *Are tools clearly defined (e.g., surveys, interviews, administrative data), and do they match the nature of the outcome (qualitative vs. quantitative)?*

4. Measure-to-Outcome Alignment:

- Do the proposed measures (questions) for the proposed measurement tools (data collection methods) aligned to the expected outcomes?

The scores are:

- Not Applicable - N/A
- Not Met – 0
- Needs Work – 1 criterion met
- Needs Some Work - 2 criteria met
- Good – 3 criteria met
- Exemplary – 4 criteria met